

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,159	04/01/2004	Shunpei Yamazaki	12732-225001	7389	
26171	7590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.		LOUIE, WAI SING			
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No. 10/814,159	Applicant(s) YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner Wai-Sing Louie	Art Unit 2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	_
EPLY FILED 14 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Firm	A 4 11-24					
Before the Fining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Wai-Sing Louie	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	 a) Ye period for reply expires 7 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I 						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 April 2006. A brief	in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m	nust be filed within two	months of the				
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the				
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replamental AMENDMENTS	ly must be filed within the time perio	d set forth in 37 CFR	41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amendment	(PTOL. 324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a Nord and sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
		All	<u>ب</u>				
		Wai-Sing Louie Patent Examiner					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/814,159

Continuation of 3. NOTE: During the phone interview, Examiner promised to recondsider the proposed amendment. However, the proposed amendment on claim 1 has broadened the limitations of the original claim. This will require further search and consideration.